



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/782,751 | 02/12/2001 | Stein A. Lundby | 000411 | 9685 |
| 23696 | 7590 | 06/17/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| Qualcomm Incorporated Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, CA 92121-1714 | | | ORGAD, EDAN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2684 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/782,751 | Applicant(s) LUNDBY, STEIN A. | |
| | Examiner Edan Orgad | Art Unit 2684 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 1-11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashem et al (US 6,330,456) in view of Chen et al (US 2002/0105929).

Regarding claim 1, Hashem teaches a remote station apparatus (col. 3, lines 24-26) comprising: a link quality estimation unit operative to generate a link quality estimate in response to a first power control instruction (col. 3, lines 64-67); and a power control unit coupled to the link quality estimation unit, the power control unit operative to generate a second power control instruction in response to the link quality estimate (col. 4, lines 1-35).

However, Hashem fails to specifically disclose said power control instruction is received on a common channel.

Art Unit: 2684

However, in related art, Chen discloses a shared channel structure for use in a forward link power control scheme, in other words, a power control instruction received on a common channel (see Chen, abstract & ¶ 0106 & 0110).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include said power control instruction to be received on a common channel with Hashem's existing power control scheme in order to reduce the space needed.

Regarding claim 2, Hashem teaches the remote station apparatus controls transmission power in response to the first power control instruction (col. 4, lines 28-32).

Regarding claim 3, Hashem teaches the remote station apparatus transmits the second power control instruction (col. 4, lines 42-47).

Regarding claim 7, Hashem teaches a method for power control in a wireless apparatus operative in a communication system having a forward link and a reverse link (col. 3, lines 64-67), the system transmitting power control bits, on a forward link channel, the method comprising: measuring a SNR of at least one power control bit for controlling a reverse link; and determining a power control decision for the forward link based on the SNR (col. 3, lines 23-30 & col. 4, lines 1-35).

However, Hashem fails to specifically disclose said power control instruction is received on a common channel.

However, in related art, Chen discloses a shared channel structure for use in a forward link power control scheme, in other words, a power control instruction received on a common channel (see Chen, abstract & ¶ 0106 & 0110).

Art Unit: 2684

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include said power control instruction to be received on a common channel with Hashem's existing power control scheme in order to reduce the space needed.

Regarding claim 10, Hashem teaches the link quality estimation unit operative to generate the link quality estimate based on a received power level the first power control instruction (col. 4, lines 58-62), and wherein the power control unit is further operative to generate a second power control instruction for power control of the channel (col. 4, lines 62-67).

Claims 4-6, 8, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knutsson et al (WO 99/53630) in view of Chen et al (US 2002/0105929).

Regarding claims 4, 6 & 8, Knutsson teaches a base station apparatus (element MS) comprising: a decoder (inherent); and a determination unit coupled to the decoder, the determination operative to determine a received power control instruction for base station transmission on a channel (pg. 5, lines 25-27); and an adjustment unit coupled to the determination unit, the adjustment unit operative to adjust a transmission power level of the power control instruction (pg. 5, lines 27-29).

However, Knutsson fails to specifically disclose said power control instruction is received on a common channel.

However, in related art, Chen discloses a shared channel structure for use in a forward link power control scheme, in other words, a power control instruction received on a common channel (see Chen, abstract & ¶ 0106 & 0110).

Art Unit: 2684

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include said power control instruction to be received on a common channel with Knutsson's existing power control scheme in order to reduce the space needed.

Regarding claims 5 & 9, Knutsson teaches a base station apparatus (element BS) comprising: a control processor (inherent) for power control of transmission of power control instructions on a channel, wherein a transmission power level of the power control instruction is initially set to a reference value (pg. 9, lines 5-7); and an amplifier (inherent) operative to adjust a power level of the power control instructions (pg. 9, lines 1-5 & pg. 10, lines 11-15).

However, Knutsson fails to specifically disclose said power control instruction is received on a common channel.

However, in related art, Chen discloses a shared channel structure for use in a forward link power control scheme, in other words, a power control instruction received on a common channel (see Chen, abstract & ¶ 0106 & 0110).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include said power control instruction to be received on a common channel with Knutsson's existing power control scheme in order to reduce the space needed.

Regarding claim 11, Knutsson teaches a transmission power level of the power control instruction is initially set to a reference value (pg. 9, lines 5-9).

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 571-272-7884. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDAN ORGAD
PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

f.o. 6/8/05